

Cosmetic Surgery Times®

Where the Exchange on Aesthetic Perspective Begins

March 2001 • Vol. 4, No. 2

Phenol peels vs CO₂ laser — both come out winners

Options available to eliminate or decrease patients' facial rhytides and imperfections give physicians a choice for success and satisfaction on a procedure well done.

By Lisette Hilton
Contributing Editor

Beverly Hills, Calif. — Once a gold standard, phenol peels have descended low on the totem pole of facial rejuvenation options. Still, there are those who believe that the power of the phenol peel is unmatched when it comes to deep wrinkles and severe sun damage.

Robert Kotler, M.D., a facial plastic surgeon and UCLA clinical instructor who practices in Beverly Hills, Calif., is a fan of the phenol peel. The author of *Chemical Rejuvenation of the Face* (Mos-



Dr. Kotler

by), Kotler set out to find out just how the phenol peel stacks up against the laser.

He teamed up with Manhattan Beach, Calif.-based board-certified dermatologist Lawrence Moy, M.D., to study the differences. Dr. Moy is chief of the division of dermatology at University of California, Los Angeles. Unlike Dr. Kotler, Dr. Moy uses the laser for skin resurfacing and not the phenol peel.

The resulting paper, "The Histologic Evaluation of Pulsed Carbon Dioxide laser Resurfacing vs. Phenol Chemical Peels in Vivo," was published August 1999 in *Dermatologic Surgery*.

The purpose of the study, according to Dr. Kotler, was to compare the histologic



This patient, in her early 60s, is shown before (left) and after a phenol chemical peel, which was performed three months following face, neck, and upper eyelid surgery.

effects of phenol chemical peels and CO₂ laser ablations under the microscope.

"We asked ourselves what is really happening to the skin and can we compare under the microscope the effects of treating the skin with the laser and with phenol chemical?" he said. "This is a very good clue in terms of what the result [of

each procedure] will be and the longevity [of each]."

The researchers studied human volunteers who were good candidates for the laser and phenol peel. They performed biopsies on each patient, in front of their ears. For variety, the physicians changed the laser settings and peel concentrations.

They used the CO₂ laser and the Baker-Gordon phenol mixture.

The researchers performed biopsies, again, three months later.

"It is significant at three months because, typically, whatever has happened in terms of the restructuring of the skin with new collagen and elastic fibers is obvious at three months," Dr. Kotler said.

The study included five patients. The pathologist interpreting the biopsies was an outside pathologist, who was unaware of which biopsies were from the peel and

What the researchers learned was that the CO₂ laser produced a thinner layer of new collagen than phenol.

which were from the laser volunteers.

What the researchers learned was that the CO₂ laser produced a thinner layer of new collagen than phenol. The theory is: the thicker the layer of collagen, the longer the life span of the process and the greater the overall tightening effect.

Healing time was shorter with the laser, which correlates with the first conclusion in terms of the laser not producing as thick a layer of new collagen. The skin was

See *Peels vs laser* on page 18



This 69-year-old patient is shown before undergoing various procedures (left). At right, she is seen six months after face, neck, and upper and lower eyelid surgery, and chemical facial rejuvenation. The peel was performed three months following the other procedures. (All photographs provided courtesy of Robert Kotler, M.D., F.A.C.S.)

BRIEF SUMMARY—

Skin Bleaching Moisturizing Cream
With Sunscreens (SPF 15)

RX ONLY

FOR EXTERNAL USE ONLY

INDICATIONS AND USAGE

Glyquin® is indicated for the gradual bleaching of hyperpigmented skin conditions such as chloasma, melasma, freckles, senile lentiginos and other unwanted areas of melanin hyperpigmentation.

CONTRAINDICATIONS

Prior history of sensitivity or allergic reaction to this product or any of its ingredients. The safety of topical hydroquinone use during pregnancy or in children (12 years and under) has not been established.

WARNINGS

A. CAUTION: Hydroquinone is a skin bleaching agent which may produce unwanted cosmetic effects if not used as directed. The physician should be familiar with the contents of this insert before prescribing or dispensing this medication. **B.** Test for skin sensitivity before using Glyquin® by applying a small amount to an unbroken patch of skin and check in 24 hours. Minor redness is not a contraindication, but where there is itching or vesicle formation or excessive inflammatory response further treatment is not advised. Close patient supervision is recommended. Contact with the eyes should be avoided. If no bleaching or lightening effect is noted after 2 months of treatment use, Glyquin® should be discontinued. Glyquin® is formulated for use as a skin bleaching agent and should not be used for the prevention of sunburn. **C.** Sunscreen use is an essential aspect of hydroquinone therapy because even minimal sunlight sustains melanocytic activity. The sunscreens in Glyquin® provide the necessary sun protection during skin bleaching therapy. After clearing and during maintenance therapy, sun exposure should be avoided on bleached skin by application of a sunscreen or sunblock agent or protective clothing to prevent repigmentation. **D.** Keep this and all medications out of the reach of children. In case of accidental ingestion, call a physician or a poison control center immediately.

PRECAUTIONS (SEE WARNINGS)

A. Pregnancy Category C. Animal reproduction studies have not been conducted with topical hydroquinone. It is also not known whether hydroquinone can cause fetal harm when used topically on a pregnant woman or affect reproductive capacity. It is not known to what degree, if any, topical hydroquinone is absorbed systemically. Topical hydroquinone should be used in pregnant women only when clearly indicated. **B. Nursing mothers.** It is not known whether topical hydroquinone is absorbed or excreted in human milk. Caution is advised when topical hydroquinone is used by a nursing mother. **C. Pediatric usage.** Safety and effectiveness in pediatric patients below the age of 12 years have not been established.

ADVERSE REACTIONS

No systemic adverse reactions have been reported. Occasional hypersensitivity (localized contact dermatitis) may occur in which case the medication should be discontinued and the physician notified immediately.

DRUG DOSAGE AND ADMINISTRATION

Glyquin® should be applied to the affected area and rubbed in well twice daily or as directed by a physician. There is no recommended dosage for pediatric patients under 12 years of age except under the advice and supervision of a physician.

REFERENCES

Denton, C., A.B. Lerner and T.B. Fitzpatrick, "Inhibition of Melanin Formation by Chemical Agents," *Journal of Investigative Dermatology*, 18:119-135, 1952.
Jimbow, K., H. Obata, M. Pathak, and T.B. Fitzpatrick, "Mechanism of Depigmentation by Hydroquinone," *Journal of Investigative Dermatology*, 62:436-449, 1974.

Manufactured by
121 Pharmaceuticals, Inc.
3300 Hyland Avenue, Costa Mesa, CA 92626 U.S.A. Printed in U.S.A.
2554-00EL
Orig. 5-00

Before prescribing, please see complete prescribing information.



Peels vs laser

Continued from page 16

not damaged as much with the laser and both processes rely on damage to the skin.

"If there were less damage to the skin there would be quicker healing. But the flip side is that less damage to the skin also means less stimulation for new collagen formation and, therefore, quantitatively less new collagen formation," Dr. Kotler said.

Among other corollary observations were: The strength or intensity of laser

***For the perfect candidate
for the [phenol peel] —
meaning, someone who has
light skin with little chance
of pigmentary changes,
blue or green eyes, and
blonde hair — there is not
much downside to the
phenol peel.***

treatment has more variation. One can vary the intensity of the beam, the duration of beam treatment to the skin, and the number of passes of the beam. One has a greater assortment of treatment strengths with the laser. The phenol peel does not offer this variation. Once it is on, it is out of the physician's control.

This proves, Dr. Kotler said, that the phenol peel has a place in skin rejuvenation. For the perfect candidate for the procedure — meaning, someone who has light skin with little chance of pigmentary changes, blue or green eyes, and blonde hair — there is not much downside to the phenol peel.

On the other hand, for someone who is not an ideal candidate, you need other options, according to Dr. Kotler. "That's where the laser treatment comes in. You can vary the strength and intensity and therefore the amount of wounding to the skin to a greater degree."

Dr. Kotler, who has a cosmetic practice, said he generally prefers the phenol peel over the laser. However, he noted that he has to be more stringent in his patient selection for phenol peels.

He said results from the peel last longer. He surmises that it is because the phenol peel destroys more tissue, causing more repair with good, strong repair tissue. Many of his patients who had peels 14 to 15 years ago, have yet to undergo repeat procedures.

"The flip side is that it takes longer for healing. Everything is more — more intensity, more discomfort probably. There's a longer time for red coloring and



At 48-years-old, this patient underwent a phenol chemical peel only (bottom), with no additional surgery.

a longer time before patients can go out into the real world," he said.

While this study demonstrated a comparison between the two procedures, no study can compare apples to apples when it comes to laser skin resurfacing and phenol peels. The phenol peel has been around for over 40 years; the laser for about five. Laser technology is constantly evolving, while the peel process has stayed relatively unchanged. "Last time I counted, there were 60 different lasers available," he said.

Dr. Kotler explained that the phenol peel offers certain advantages that have been forgotten amid the race for new technology.

"It has become a somewhat forgotten procedure because there has not been a doctor taste for it. It's very 'low tech,' it

does not require surgical skills. Historically, it has even been performed by lay people. Nonetheless, for the right patient, the results can be quite something," said Dr. Kotler.

Further, phenol does not deserve the reputation of being 'dangerous,' he said. "When performed on a properly selected patient by a well trained practitioner, in a proper setting, it's no more dangerous than any surgical procedure we do," he said. CST

For more information

■ Moy LS, Kotler R, Lesser T. The histologic evaluation of pulsed carbon dioxide laser resurfacing versus phenol chemical peels in vivo. *Dermatol Surg* 1999 Aug;25:597-600.